without any independent medical opinion and as such the same deserve to be discarded.

17. With reference to the contents of paragraph 6 under title "Facts of the Case" of the complaint under reply, this Opponent repeats and reiterates that the Complainant has leveled various allegations against this Opponent about the effect of IV Zobone without any independent medical opinion. This Opponent states that the Creatinine clearance in the case of the Complainant's wife was never less than 35 ml per minute and as such there was no sign of contra-indication in the case of Complainant's wife for administering the said injection IV Zobone.

18. With reference to the contents of paragraph 7 under title "Facts of the Case" of the complaint under reply, this Opponent states that the Complainant's wife was administered injection Iv. Rockfos but the nurse has inadvertently written the name as Iv. Zobone both are same generic name of same strength. This Opponent denies that there was any inaction on the part of this Opponent or the other doctors treating the Complainant's wife for five days, as alleged or otherwise.

19. With reference to the contents of paragraph 8 under title "Facts of the Case" of the complaint under reply, this Opponent denies that all the side effects of the drug occurred in the case of the Complainant's wife. This Opponent states that immediately after this Opponent noticed that the said drug did not suit the Complainant's wife, he discontinued the same and as such was meant for once a year use.

20. With reference to the contents of paragraph 9 under title "Facts of the Case" of the complaint under reply, this Opponent denies that the said drug caused grievous hurt to the Complainant's wife